

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	81	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/800,495	03/08/2001		Takenori Hirose	2192		
20457	7590	11/19/2003		EXAMINER		
		RY, STOUT & KF	UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T			
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889				1765		

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/800,495	HIROSE ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lynette T. Umez-Eronini	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli I) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee unde the final Office action: or (2) as set forth i	in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th	he				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendmen	ıt				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,9-11,18-20 and 24-28</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10 Tothon							
		Tad Hon					
	NA PRII	DINE G. NORTON MARY EXAMINER					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/800,495

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In (currently amended) Claim 1, "A method of detecting --lights having different wavelengths from one another-- . . . --an optically transparent thin-- film . . . --on which patterns are formed-- . . . --separately-- . . . detecting --interference lights of said respective light having the-- . . . --interference between lights reflected from a surface of said thin film and surface of said patterns formed on said wafer-- . . . --which are irradiated-- . . . --separately-- detected --interference-- . . . lights of different wavelengths;"

In (currently amended) Claim 2, "A method of detecting . . . --interference-- lights of different wavelengths;" in (currently amended) Claim 9, "A method of manufacturing . . --optically-- insulating film . . . --on which patterns are formed--. . -- lights having different wavelengths from one another-- . . --reference lights of said respective lights having the-- . . --interference between lights reflected from a surface of said thin film and surfaces of said patterns formed on said wafer-- . . --which are irradiated-- . . --separately-- detected --interference-- lights . . . from said polishing processing machine";

In (current amended) Claim 10, "A method of manufacturing . . .--interference-- lights . . . of the evaluation result;"

In (currently amended) Claim 19, "A method of detecting . . .--interference-- lights of the different wavelengths;"
In (currently amended) Claim 24, "A method of manufacturing . . .--interference-- lights . . . --interference-- lights of the different wavelengths;" and

In (currently amended) Claim 26, "A method of manufacturing . . . —interference— lights of different wavelengths" raise new issues that would require further consideration.